

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/082,954	WILLIAMS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Szekely	1714	

All Participants:

(1) Peter Szekely.

(2) Patrea Pabst.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2004

Time: 10.00 a.m.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 31.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The priority statement will be brought up to date and claim 31 will be amended to eliminate the less than two year degradation period.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)